

Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts

Trial Court Financial Policies and Procedures

Procedure No. Page

FIN 4.02 1 of 13

BUDGET MONITORING AND REPORTING

POLICY NUMBER: AOC FIN 4.02

Original Release

April 2001

Originator:	Effective Date:	Revision Date:
Administrative Office of the Courts	July 1, 2006	January 17, 2006

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 2 of 13

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

1.0 Table of Contents

- 1.0 Table of Contents
- 2.0 Purpose
- 3.0 Policy Statement
- 4.0 Application
- 5.0 Definitions
- 6.0 Text
 - 6.1 Background
 - 6.2 Budget Monitoring Requirements
 - 6.3 Budget Reporting
 - 6.4 Budget Revisions
 - 6.5 Budget Transfers
 - 6.6 Budget Change Proposals
 - 6.7 Emergency Budget Procedures
- 7.0 Associated Documents

2.0 Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to establish uniform guidelines for the trial court to monitor and control its annual budget and help ensure responsible management of available resources.

3.0 Policy Statement

- 1. The trial court will manage its operations in a fiscally prudent manner. Expenditures may not exceed the amounts established in the court's approved budget. Budgetary control measures are required to assure that expenditures do not exceed allocations for specific funds, programs, elements, components, tasks, or objects of expenditure.
- 2. The trial court shall monitor actual expenditures against its annual budget. Cash flow also will be monitored to assure that the trial court

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 3 of 13

has sufficient moneys to meet its obligations. On a quarterly basis, the trial court shall submit a report of revenues and expenditures to the AOC. The Quarterly Financial Statement (QFS) and the supplementary Report of Revenues (ROR) will be in the form and according to the schedule approved by the Judicial Council. Annually, the trial court shall submit financial information to the AOC for consolidation and submittal to the state for inclusion in the State of California Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

4.0 Application

This policy applies to all trial court officials and employees.

5.0 Definitions

The terms defined below apply to this policy and are for the express purpose of interpreting this policy.

- 1. **Approved Budget.** A plan for the financial operation of the trial court for a fiscal year. The plan is developed and submitted to the AOC for approval as set forth in Policy No. 4.01 Budget Development.
- 2. **Budgetary Control.** The management of the trial court according to an approved budget to keep expenditures within the limitations of available appropriations and revenues.
- 3. **Budget Revision.** An adjustment to a trial court's available budget.
- Budget Transfer. A movement of funds in a trial court's budget among program, element, component or task areas, and between objects of expenditure.
- 5. **Cash Flow.** A measure of the trial court's ability to meet its financial obligations over a defined time period, considering cash on hand, anticipated revenue, and known obligations or debts.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 4 of 13

- 6. Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The overall fiscal report for California state government, including the state trial court system. The trial courts submit financial information to the AOC for consolidation and submittal to the state for inclusion in the State of California CAFR. The CAFR includes financial statements and supporting schedules, documentation, statistics and introductory material to demonstrate conformity to GAAP and compliance with legal requirements, rules and regulations.
- 7. **PECT**. The trial court Budget Program Structure consists of four levels of increasing detail that are used to develop and manage the trial court budget:

<u>Program</u>: This is the summary level, which includes all elements of Trial Court Operations – Program 10 and Court Administration – Program 90. Court Administration is reflected as a distributed expense against trial court operations in the Schedule 1 - Baseline Budget.

<u>E</u>lement: This is the second level of budget detail, which breaks down the two programs into the major court operations and administration elements.

<u>C</u>omponent: The Component level is the third level of budget detail. It further segregates trial court operations funds into categories for different types of court cases and other support services.

<u>T</u>ask: The task level is the most detailed budget category. It is used to segregate funds within the Criminal and Families and Children components of the Trial Court Operations Program, Case Type Services element.

8. Quarterly Financial Statement (QFS). A report submitted by the trial court according to Judicial Council requirements and Government Code Section 77206, that is used to monitor the financial condition and

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 5 of 13

budgeted expenditures of the trial court throughout the fiscal year. The AOC is required to submit an annual report based on the QFS to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee regarding trial court expenditures.

- 9. Report of Revenues (ROR). A supplementary report submitted by the trial court along with the QFS according to Judicial Council requirements and Government Code section 77206. The ROR is used to monitor the receipt of all revenues, including fines, fees and forfeitures, throughout the fiscal year.
- 10. State Appropriations Limit (SAL) Funding Adjustment. The annual funding adjustment for trial court operating costs is computed based upon the year-to-year change in the State Appropriations Limit. The State Appropriations Limit is an annual cap on state expenditures, which incorporates changes in the state population, average daily school attendance, and the change in California Per Capita Personal Income.

6.0 Text

6.1 Background

- After the trial court's annual budget has been approved and funds appropriated in the State Budget Act, the court must operate within the limitations of the available funding under the budget (GC 77206.1). The court must establish budgetary control procedures to monitor its budget on an ongoing basis throughout the year to assure that actual expenditures do not exceed budgeted amounts.
- Regular budget monitoring allows the court to: 1) assure that funds are available for court operations, and 2) reallocate limited resources in the event of budget shortfalls or surpluses. Monitoring both expenditure and cash flow allows the court to exercise an appropriate level of control over available funds and to take corrective action as necessary.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 6 of 13

3. Quarterly budget reporting to the AOC is done in the PECT format at the object of expenditure level. The trial court submits the QFS, which is a budgetary control document and financial report that provides the AOC and other external reviewers with the assurance that the court's operations are within appropriate limits. The QFS is also the means by which the court reports budget revisions and transfers.

6.2 Budget Monitoring Requirements

- 1. It is the court's responsibility to monitor its budget on a regular basis. It is recommended that the court prepare and review a comparison of actual expenditures with the approved budget that provides the following information for each program element and budget line item of expenditure:
 - a. Actual expenditures incurred for the previous month.
 - b. Expenditures incurred for the fiscal year-to-date.
 - c. The variance between year-to-date actual and budgeted expenditures.
 - d. The remaining balance for each program element and object.
- In addition, the court should analyze its cash flow needs for the current month and project its cash flow for the remainder of the fiscal year.
- 3. Expenditure to budget comparisons and cash flow monitoring reports shall be prepared and reviewed as soon as is practicable after closing information becomes available each month.
- 4. The court shall not limit its budget analysis to a "bottom line" review of the court's fund balance. Individual budget line item review is required to provide a greater degree of budgetary control.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. **FIN 4.02** Page: 7 of 13

6.3 Budget Reporting

- 1. The Judicial Council is responsible for collecting information and reporting on the financial status of the trial courts. GC 77206 (d)(e) and (f) establish that the Judicial Council shall:
 - a. Provide the State Controller with summary information regarding court revenues and expenditures.
 - Adopt rules to provide for reasonable public access to budget allocation and expenditure information at the state and local levels.
 - c. Adopt rules ensuring that the trial court provides information relating to court administration including financial information in a timely manner upon written request.
- 2. Specific requirements for trial court financial reporting are discussed below.

6.3.1 Quarterly Financial Statements

- 1. The trial court shall submit a QFS that provides the following PECT information at the object of expenditure level:
 - a. Actual expenditures incurred during the previous fiscal quarter.
 - b. Cumulative expenditures for the fiscal year-to-date.
 - c. The variance between actual and budgeted expenditures.
 - d. The remaining budgeted balance for each object of expenditure.
 - e. Budget transfers among PECT areas and between expenditure objects, as necessary to support operations. (These adjustments should net to zero).
 - f. Budget revisions reflecting changes to the court's available budget, such as additional Judicial Council allocations or receipt of new grants.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 8 of 13

2. The trial court shall prepare and submit the QFS to the AOC on a schedule approved by the Judicial Council. The form of the QFS is established in procedures adopted by the AOC with the approval of the Judicial Council. The trial court shall follow all instructions regarding the preparation of the QFS and ROR that are issued annually by the AOC's Budget Unit.

6.3.2 Report of Revenues

In addition to the QFS, the trial court shall also submit a quarterly ROR as specified in the instructions that are issued annually by the AOC's Budget Unit. The trial court shall prepare and submit the ROR to the AOC concurrently with the QFS on a schedule approved by the Judicial Council. The form of the ROR is established in procedures adopted by the AOC with the approval of the Judicial Council.

6.3.3 Certification and Documentation

- Both the QFS and ROR are to be reviewed and certified by the Presiding Judge or delegated to the Court Executive Officer prior to submission to the AOC. The reports and signed certification pages must be sent to the AOC by the dates established by the AOC's Budget Unit.
- 2. The trial court should retain a copy of both the paper and electronic version of its QFS and ROR for its records and for use in preparing future reports.

6.4 Budget Revisions

1. During the course of the fiscal year, the trial court may receive additional or amended allocations from the Judicial Council, receive local revenues above those originally budgeted, or receive new grants from other governments or private sources.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 9 of 13

- 2. The trial court will revise the budget and report the budget revisions to the AOC as part of the QFS. The Fiscal Officer will also prepare amended reports for management and the Presiding Judge, reflecting these revisions.
- 3. Budget revisions are reported at the Task and Summary Object of Expenditure (line item) levels, and reflect an overall increase or decrease to the trial court's approved budget.
- 4. Under no circumstance will funds received by the court for specific uses or applications (i.e., grant funds) be transferred to other court programs in violation of grant terms, conditions and agreements.
- 5. The court will conduct regular reviews of actual expenditures versus budgeted amounts and project expenditures to assure that the remaining budget is sufficient to cover anticipated expenditures for the balance of the fiscal year. If a budget shortfall is anticipated, appropriate actions shall be taken to assure that court operations are not adversely affected.
- 6. The court should document all budget revisions to account for variances in projected versus actual expenditures.

6.5 Budget Transfers

- 1. GC 77001 (c)(1) establishes the authority of the Judicial Council to adopt rules that ensure the trial court's management of the movement of funds between functions, line items, or programs on a basis that is consistent with statute, rules of court, and standards of judicial administration.
- 2. It is the intent of this manual to provide trial courts with the flexibility in transferring funds between individual programs and objects of expenditure where the transfers are necessary for the efficient and cost-effective operation of the courts, or to make technical

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 10 of 13

corrections. Within this framework, the following provisions govern budget transfers.

6.5.1 Transfers Between Program Items

- Trial courts prepare their baseline budgets on Schedule 1 according to the PECT structure. The budgeted amounts in Schedule 1 represent the court's planned operations by program activity for the fiscal year.
- 2. The budget transfer process allows courts to transfer unrestricted funds between or among the budgeted program components to reflect changes in the court's planned operations or to correct technical errors. Budget transfers are subject to the following limitations:
 - a. For any fiscal year, a cumulative amount not to exceed \$400,000 or ten percent, whichever is less, of the affected PECT, may be transferred between or among other PECT. This threshold applies to increasing or decreasing PECT. The trial court has the authority to transfer unrestricted funds up to this limitation and must record this information on the appropriate QFS.
 - b. Any request(s) exceeding the \$400,000 or ten percent threshold requires written notification to the AOC Finance Division and must include a complete explanation for the necessity of the transfer. The AOC will review the request and respond (approve/deny) within 30 days of receipt.
- 3. Transfers are also subject to notification under California Rule of Court 6.6.20(d)(1).
- 4. Funds designated for specific purposes shall only be used for the stated purpose.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 11 of 13

5. The court's Presiding Judge or written designee shall establish internal review and approval procedures regarding the transfer of funds between all programs, elements, components, or tasks.

6.5.2 Transfers Between Objects of Expenditure

- 1. Trial courts also prepare their budgets on Schedule 1 at the summary object of expenditure level specified in the Chart of Accounts. This consists of budgeted expenditures for personal services, operating expenses and equipment, and special items of expense. Within the existing funding of a single PECT area, money may need to be transferred between objects of expenditure to reflect changes in planned court operations during a fiscal year or to correct technical errors. Therefore, interchanges between budgeted summary objects of expenditure are authorized to permit the effective management of court operations. The Presiding Judge of the court or written designee shall establish internal review and approval procedures regarding the above-mentioned requests.
- 2. All transfers between objects of expenditure must be reported in the QFS. The transfers should be reported at the summary level. Prior notification or authorization by the AOC is not necessary.

6.6 Budget Change Proposals

1. SAL is the primary funding source for trial court operations. However, in the event there are non-discretionary costs that are imposed upon the courts as a result of legislative or other changes to operations and programs that are not funded by the SAL funding adjustment, the AOC is permitted to submit a Budget Change Proposal (BCP) to request additional funding through the annual State budget process to address those costs. Funds provided to the trial court through the BCP process must be used for the purpose requested.

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 12 of 13

- Augmented funding may be received from the Judicial Council based upon approved BCPs funded in the Budget Act. Following enactment of the state budget, the court will reflect changes in funding in its subsequent QFS according to the Fiscal Detail Worksheet provided by the AOC Finance Division.
- 3. Funds received pursuant to the BCP process may not be transferred between program areas and are not to be included when calculating the amount available for transfer to another program area, as set forth in section 6.5, Budget Transfers.
- 4. While the court may not transfer augmented funds from the budgeted program area, funds received in this process may be transferred between summary objects of expenditure within the program area as set forth in Paragraph 6.4, Budget Revisions, of this section.
- 5. Exceptions to this process must be based on extreme circumstances, thoroughly explained in writing, recommended by the Presiding Judge or written designee, and submitted to the Chief Financial Officer of the AOC for approval.

6.7 Emergency Budget Procedures

- 1. The Judicial Council budget allocation to the trial court is intended to adequately fund court operations and staffing, allowing the court to carry out its functions and guarantee citizens' access to justice. In the unlikely event that the trial court projects that its budget will be exhausted before the end of the fiscal year, preventing the court from meeting its financial obligations or continuing normal operations, the AOC Finance Division should be immediately advised in writing.
- 2. The AOC will work with the court to help it manage the funding shortfall. Under the most extreme circumstances, if trial court expenditures exceed the budget authorized by the Judicial Council,

Budget Monitoring and Reporting

Procedure No. FIN 4.02 Page: 13 of 13

the AOC may appoint another party to manage the fiscal operations of the trial court (GC 77206.1).

3. A loan of trial court funds to any entity, including the county, is not permissible under any circumstance.

7.0 Associated Documents

None